



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that the case was in condition for allowance except for some minor issues with the claims. Claims 34 and 35 were invalid because they were trying to redefine a limitation that was already defined in independent claim 7 from which they depended. Applicant requested that these claims be changed to be dependant from claim 27 instead of claim 7. In addition, the applicant requested the addition of 2 additional claims that added limitations to claim 27. These claims would state the subject matter of claims 32 and 33 but be dependent from claim 27. The examiner agreed to add these claims with the examiner's amendment to further prosecution of the case.